









Deformation pattern of the Western Alps from two decades of campaign and permanent GNSS measurements

- A. Walpersdorf (1), L. Pinget (1), P. Vernant (2), C. Sue (3), A. Déprez (1) and the RENAG team
- (1) ISTerre Grenoble, University Grenoble Alpes, France
- (2) Géosciences Montpellier, University Montpellier II, France
- (3) Chrono-Environnement Besançon, University Franche-Comté, France

The RENAG team:

M. Vergnolle, Géoazur, Sophia Antipolis, France F. Masson, EOST, Strasbourg, France F. Jouanne, ISTerre Chambéry, France F. Perosanz, Observatoire Midi Pyrénées, Toulouse, France O. Dauteuil, Géoscience Rennes, France J. Van Baelen, Observatoire de Physique du Globe de Clermont-Ferrand, France S. Baize, IRSN, Fontenay-aux-Roses, France M. Flouzat, CEA-LDG, Arpajon, France J.-P. Cardagliaguet, CNES, Toulouse, France P. Briole, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris, France T. Villemin, EDYTEM, Univ. Savoie-Mont Blanc, Chambéry, France G. Woppelmann, LIENSs, Univ. La Rochelle, France L. Morel, ESGT, Le Mans, France E.-R. Mathis, SGN/IGN, St. Mandé, France O. Bock, LAREG/IGN, Paris, France O. Charade, DT INSU, Meudon, France



Western Alps: Tectonic settings and seismicity



USGS seismicity > M=2.5 2011-2016

Plate velocities from Serpelloni et al., 2011

Western Alps: GPS data



GPS velocity convergence over decennial time spans



Example: Alps campaign measurements

- > 1993
- 1998 (5 years span)
- > 2004 (11 years span)
- 2015 (22 years span)

GPS velocity convergence over decennial time spans



Walpersdorf et al., G2 Brest, 15/11/2016

Comparison of campaign and permanent GPS velocities



Walpersdorf et al., G2 Brest, 15/11/2016

Final ISTerre GPS velocity solution over the Western Alps

RENAG 1998-2014.5 Alps 1993-2015 MIT's GAMIT/GLOBK 10.6 (DD)

Independent solutions:

- Laboratoire Géosciences
 Montpellier (LGM):
 RENAG 2000-2013
 RGP 2000-2013
 Natural Resources Canada CSRS (PPP)

- Nevada Geodetic Laboratory (NGL): RENAG 1998-2016.5 GIPSY/OASIS (PPP)



Walpersdorf et al., G2 Brest, 15/11/2016

Comparison of independent velocity solutions



ISTerre: GAMIT (DD) LGM: CSRS (PPP) NGL: GIPSY (PPP)

	ISTerre /LGM	ISTerre /NGL
# stations	53	55
Horizontal (mm/yr)	0.13	0.18
Vertical (mm/yr)	0.44	0.75
ISTerre /LGM	> 8 yrs	> 10 vrs
# stations	37	24
Horizontal (mm/yr)	0.29	0.12
Vertical (mm/yr)	0.40	0.37

Walpersdorf et al., G2 Brest, 15/11/2016

Results comparison of velocity solutions

Comparison 22 years **campaign** solution with up to 16.5 years **permanent** solution:

- Difference of 14 campaign sites with close-by permanent sites: 0.16/0.20/1.32 mm/yr on the N/E/U components
- Encouraging result for long term campaigns

Comparison of **3 independent solutions** on up to 14-18 years of permanent data (DD and PPP):

 Minimizing differences of velocity fields yields 0.13-0.18 mm/yr on the horizontal and 0.44-0.75 mm/yr on the vertical component

0.2 mm/yr horizontally: for individual stations at the level of the tectonic signal !

→ Search for redundancy between close-by stations or independent solutions to enhance the signal-to-noise level

Large scale deformation (ISTerre solution)



Velocity profiles



Profiles A (Northern Alps) and B (Southern Alps)

- Perpendicular to the arc
- Plot arc perpendicular
 velocity components →
 extension/compression
- Plot arc parallel velocity components → strike-slip

Velocity profiles: Northern Alps (ISTerre solution)



Velocity profiles: Southern Alps (ISTerre solution)



Velocity profiles: Comparison solutions ISTerre / LGM

	solution	West (nanostrain/yr)	Center (nanostrain/yr)	East (nanostrain/yr)
North parallel	ISTerre		-3.9 (RL)	
	LGM		-4.6 0.8 (RL)	
North perpendicular	ISTerre	-3.8 2.8 (SH)	14.9 6.1 (EX)	-4.6 (SH)
	LGM	-5.3 1.5 (SH)	23.3 6.2 (EX)	-2.4 2.1 (SH)
South perpendicular	ISTerre	-1.3 0.9 (SH)	3.1 1.5 (EX)	No sites
	LGM	-1.5 0.6 (SH)	3.3 0.5(EX)	No sites

Profiles established with the independent solution LGM yield the same tendencies:

- Compression/extension/compression
- Right lateral strike-slip

qualitatively and quantitatively within uncertainties

Schematic geodetic deformation map

Interpolation between the arc perpendicular velocity profiles





Comparison with localisation of deformation from focal mechanisms (*Delacou et al., 2004*)

Geodetic deformation map on regular grid

Strain rates from inversion of GPS baseline changes in regular grid elements (Masson et al., 2014)







Comparison horizontal and vertical deformation fields



→ Maximum extension at maximum uplift (Vernant et al., 2013, Nocquet et al., 2016):

- Erosional unloading (model also predicts shortening at the borders)
- Glacial isostatic adjustment (short and long term)
- Low viscosity zone (Lippisch, 2003, Fox et al., 2015): dynamic topography by more buoyant mantle material

Summary

- Western Alps deformation predicted by seismicity now quantified by long term geodesy:
 - Extension in the center of the arc, from 15 to 3 nanostrain/yr from North to South
 - Compression at the borders of the arc, from 8 to 1.5 nanostrain/yr from North to South
- Right lateral strike-slip in the North of 0.5 mm/yr over 130 km compatible with anti-clockwise rotation of the Adriatic plate
- Uplift confirmed, from 2 to 0.3 mm/yr from North to South

→ New quantitative 3D constraints on geodynamic models of the Alps, thanks to redundancy between permanent and campaign GPS measurements and between independent geodetic solutions, enhancing the weak signal to noise ratio in the Western Alps

Many thanks for your attention !

Walpersdorf et al., G2 Brest, 15/11/2016

GPS velocity convergence over decennial time spans



Large scale deformation (ISTerre solution)



→ East-West extension • 0.6 nanostrain/yr (large) • 2 - 3 nanostrain/yr (restricted to the arc)

Vertical velocity profiles



Vertical velocity field



Models of Alpine horizontal and vertical deformation

Vernant et al., 2013



.

Extension induced by erosion



Extension induced by gravitational collapse

Nocquet et al., 2016 and authors herein

Summed effect of isostatic adjustment due to deglaciation and erosion

+ low viscosity zone

+ deep forces (dynamic topography by upwelling hot mantle material)